_	2010/11	Filiding	nesponsibility	Phonty	Auditor Comments	Response	ACTIONS
		Recommendation 1: General Ledger – Journal documentation (Ex.6) Supporting documentation should accompany all journals. This will allow the 'inputter' to view the					
		documentation to ensure its accuracy before posting. No supporting documentation accompanies journals when sent to the Ledger	Strategic Finance - ALL /	High	The risk is journals being input with no understanding of what	Journals are prepared and reviewed by service accountants who retain the	
		Management Team for input. Instead departments keep all documentation. It is therefore not clear how the Ledger Management Team know the amounts in the journal	Service Finance - ALL		the journal is for and that inaccurate journals are input into the General Ledger	appropriate documentation. The Ledger Management team's role is to process journals and to ensure that the journal has been correctly entered into the	Supporting documentation is attached to all journal e completed
		are accurate.				system. Accuracy checks on the journal entries are carried out in services.	Completed
*	7	Recommendation 2: General Ledger: Journal authorisation (Ex.7) The Council					
		should introduce the review and authorisation of journals before posting to the General Ledger.					14
		A journal chosen during the walkthrough had no evidence of authorisation prior to input into the GL. There is no requirement for journal entries to be reviewed and authorised	Strategic Finance - Hilary Appleton	High			Propose a method of journal approval Supporting documentation is attached to all journal e
		by a senior officer prior to upload.			journals could be posted to the GL	of review and authorisation to ensure that it is done efficiently. As appropriate, Strategic Finance will issue instruction to all service accounting teams to review	completed
						and approve journals prior to entering into the General Ledger. This recommendation was the subject of an internal audit review to establish best	Agree with External Audit Implement a process of Journal Approvals
						practice.	Implement a process of Journal Approvals
*	10						
^	13	Recommendation 3: General Ledger: Dataset reconciliations (Ex.8) The Council should complete regular reconciliations of dataset upload files.		1			
		ICON cash receipting, Durham City Homes rents and payroll datasets are uploaded into the General Ledger. However, it is possible to amend the datasets. As a result	Strategic Finance - Ledger Management Team/Service	Medium	The risk is amendment of datasets resulting in the upload of errors to the General Ledger	Partially a Ledger Management issue. There are amendments made to files to allow processing. This is to change headers, footers or periods to ensure that	
		there is a risk of amendment to the datasets before posting to the General Ledger. Officers have introduced Payroll and cash receipting upload file reconciliations.	Finance - ALL			files are 'unique', particularly that they have unique 'headers' so that they can be successfully uploaded into Oracle. The originating service can produce more	Investigate the possibility of locking files that are to b
		However, there is no reconciliation of the Durham rents upload file to the General Ledger. This also affects GL AIM uploads because of the .dat format, resulting in the				than one file of data per day with the same header which it is not possible to upload into Oracle. It is agreed that upload files could be locked to prevent	into Oracle.
		possibility of amendment of files before upload into General Ledger.				amendment. However, introducing the appropriate system would be costly and unlikely to be a priority. Confirmation from ICT Services that it is possible to lock	Request ICT arrange the locking of files, if possible to
						the file(s) would need to be sought. As all amendments are processed via the	Request for arrange the locking of mes, in possible to
						correction facility in Oracle, there is no reason, subject to IT being able to arrange this, that files may not be locked.	
*	10	Recommendation 4: General Ledger: Opening Balances (Ex. 9) The Council should document a review of the opening balances to confirm the General Ledger has					
		brought the correct balances forward. The Oracle General Ledger automatically carries forward Opening balances. Officers	Strategic Finance/Financial	Medium	The risk is the Oracle GL does not carry forward the opening	Oracle does not bring forward balances; it perpetually calculates balances on	K
		are unaware of any procedures to check opening balances carried forward are correct.	Systems Support		balances accurately, and officers do not identify this as no review takes place.	account from the sum of the transactions. The opening balances were checked by officers after amendments were made following the audit of the Statement of	Check the opening balances in Oracle are consistent v Statement of Accounts.
					Teview lakes place.	Accounts to ensure that the ledger matched the Statement of Accounts.	
							Record the verification of the balances and sign off.
*	11	Recommendation 5: General Ledger: Trial Balance (Ex.10) The Council should					
		complete regular trial balances to ensure there are no major differences. Completion of regular trial balances has not taken place during 2011/12. Trial balances	Strategic Finance -	Medium	The risk is that an imbalance occurs that is not identified before	Agreed. Trial balances are being undertaken weekly, daily during the final	
		are instead only completed at the year-end	lan Herberson		the year-end. Trial balances will be substantively tested at year end.	r accounts period. A trial balance was run on 9 March 2012, ahead of the final accounts period and was in balance. During the year, trial balances should be	Ensure that Trial Balances are produced on a regu
						run to ensure the system is in balance. On a monthly basis, there should also be a reconciliation to the Discoverer Reports. During the year, trial balances should	Ensure that the Trial Balances are reviewed and co action taken where necessary.
						be run to ensure the system is in balance. On a monthly basis, there should also	Ensure that the that Balances are filed centrally ic
*	35	Recommendation 6: General Ledger: Electronic authorisation (Ex. 11) The				be a reconciliation to the Discoverer Reports.	evidence of completion and correctness.
		Council should introduce a system of approving reconciliations using emails. This will					
		require the approving officer to email the preparer to confirm the reconciliation is accurate.					1
		Officers complete monthly Drive to Oracle reconciliations. A suitable officer then reviews and approves the reconciliation. This is an electronic authorisation which	Strategic Finance - Ian Herberson	Medium	The risk is the review of the reconciliation does not take place.	The weekly reconciliation of the files processed through the AIM interface from the former District Council's Agresso systems to Oracle no longer occurs. As the	
		makes is difficult to verify the officer reviewing the reconciliation.				Agresso systems have been decommissioned, this reconciliation is no longer applicable.	
*	14	Recommendation 7: Payroll: No authorised signatories list (Ex.14) Either the Payroll section or the Service Departments should introduce an authorised signatories					
		list, to help reduce the risk of submission of fraudulent time sheets					
		Neither the Payroll section nor the individual departments keep an authorised	HR - Payroll and Pensions -	Medium	The risk is submission of inaccurate or fraudulent claims	Creating, maintaining and using an authorised signatories list would be	
		signatories list. It is not possible to confirm an approved officer has signed the manual time sheets.	Nick Orton		without the knowledge of the supervising officer	significant, labour intensive paper based task which would have doubtful benefits. A more suitable approach for confirming the validity of claims is to move where	Decide on the development of the functionality in ResourceLink to allow electronic submission of cla
						possible to electronic submission of claims. The identity of the individuals submitting/authorising the claims is confirmed through their logging on to the	
						system. Online mileage claims are already being rolled out across the Authority.	Move to electronic submission of claims - subject action
						The payroll system allows for the facility to allow electronic submission of additional hours worked. Some development work will be required and a decision	
						on whether to develop this functionality is likely to be taken by 30 September 12.	Following the development of a ResourceLink development plan, implement a module for record
	-					At present, a check is carried out to establish that timesheets and claims have	additional hours.
						been signed. The implementation of a module for recording additional hours on a	
						self service basis, similar to the MyView system, should be achievable though it is not possible at this time to determine the true cost or savings that would be	
						required / achieved through such a system. A Resourcelink development plan is in the process of being developed and it is expected that this will be included as a	
						potential system development. Appropriate high level governance arrangements are in place to monitor the development of the Resourcelink system through	
						project board arrangements	
		Recommendation 8: Payroll: BACS pay run authorisation (Ex.15) The Payroll section should ensure a Team Supervisor authorises all BACS submission files					
-		After creating a BACS submission file the Team Supervisor authorises a hard copy of	HR - Payroll and Pensions -	Medium	The risk is that no review of the BACS submission file took	All BACS submission files are now authorised by a Team Supervisor.	
		the file. However, no Team Supervisor had authorised the BACS submission file tested	Nick Orton		place. Increasing the risk of inaccurate payments.		
		during audit.					
1	1		1				

	Complete by:	Update:	APPENDIX 2 Evidence
	Complete	It is not practical to attach all evidence to	N/A
entries		journals. It has been agreed with the Final Accounts Monitoring Group that where it is possible to attach relevant documentation, this will be done.	
entries	Complete	An on-line method of journal approval was proposed and discussed at FSP - the group of senior finance managers. The outcome was to confirm with the Final Accounts Monitoring Group the most appropriate method of approval. This Group agreed that there should be a paper-based system for journal approvals. This system will be implemented immediately for all journals over £1m, and is consistent with existing practices for journal approvals. This will be discussed with the External Auditor.	Briefing note to FSP, Minutes o FSP and minutes of Fina Accounts Monitoring Group
ne uploaded	Complete	Further consideration has been given to the processing of files of information into Oracle. As a result, it is understood that the locking datasets would cause delays in processing, due to having to return files to the originator for resubmission. If the error is the result of a system, simply re-running would not remedy the problem, but would mean that information would not be available in Oracle. It has been discussed and agreed with External Audit that the Ledger Management team will retain the ability to amend datasets that include errors prior to processing into Oracle, but will keep a log of the errors amended and continue to ask the originator to amend the error in future files.	Log of errors available for Audit inspection this will be available from 2 March 2013.
with the	Complete	The balances in Oracle General Ledger have been checked for consistency with the Statement of Accounts. The amendments made following the Audit of the Accounts are still to be completed. This is scheduled to be complete by 28 February 2013. Completed by 31 March 2013.	Evidence held on file in Strategic Finance.
ular basis corrective	Complete	Trial balances are produced monthly and have been reviewed and approved up to 31 January 2013. This system has been in place since September 2012.	Evidence held on file in Strategic Finance.
	Complete		No longer applicable
, )	30 September 2014	In practice the development of ResourceLink is now going forwards via a formal project	
aims to above		plan (evidence Project Initiation Document) – a decision on whether or not to develop and introduce online timesheet authorisation will be made as part of the ResourceLink development project and (if agreed)	
rding		progressed at the earliest as part of 'phase 2' (October 2013 to September 2014) under 'Enhancements to Manager & Employees Self Service'.	
	Complete		Evidence
			Received - File in Relevant Office if Required

	2010/11	Finding	Responsibility	Priority	Auditor Comments	Response	Actions
		Recommendation 9: Payroll: Voluntary Redundancy agreement not signed and returned by leaver (Ex. 18) The Council should ensure all employees leaving have returned signed copies of the redundancy agreements.					
		The leaver tested had not signed and returned the voluntary redundancy agreement, sent by the Council. Officers confirmed the employee should have returned a signed agreement. However, because of the volume of redundancies processed during the year they have been unable to check the return of all redundancy agreements.	HR - Lorraine Anderson	Medium	The risk is that the Council incorrectly processes voluntary redundancies without the individual concerned agreeing to take redundancy.	From June 2012 the process will be undertaken from one central point (The new e HR Service) and in this regard audit and monitoring checks will be implemented to ensure all cases have the appropriate signatures prior to agreement to cases.	
*	12	Recommendation 10: Payroll: Reconciliation of payroll upload files to GL (Ex.19) The Council should ensure a senior officer reviews and authorises all reconciliations in					
		Iretrospect. The Ledger Management Team completes a monthly reconciliation between the Payroll upload files and the General Ledger. However, there is no independent review and	I Strategic Finance - Beverley White	Medium	The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and because no review takes place this is not identified.	Ledger Management team will report to Beverley White going forward. The review of the reconciliations will be done by Joanne Watson as the Ledger	Complete the reconciliations
		authorisation of the reconciliation				Manager's line manager.	Review and authorise the reconciliations
							File the reconciliations to evidence completion
*		Recommendation 11: Accounts Payable: Payrun authorisation (Ex.3) The Council should introduce an independent review of pay sheets and BACS files.					
		Accounts Payable Team Leaders prepare daily pay run sheets in Oracle. However, there is no review and authorisation of the pay run by an independent officer to ensure the pay sheet is accurate.	Service Finance - K Coad / Chris Jones	Medium	The risk is the Council pays inappropriate invoices, and because of no formal review taking place this is not identified.	An independent officer has now been nominated.	Ensure the BACS payments are reviewed and au the nominated person.
		Recommendation 12: Accounts Payable: Authorised Signatories List (Ex.50) The Accounts Payable section should introduce an authorised signatories list. Officers could limit the lists size by reducing the number of individuals with the ability to certify non-purchase order invoices within each department.					
		The Accounts Payable section do not keep an authorised signatories list. As a result they are unable to gain assurance that only approved officers certify non-purchase order invoices for payment.	Service Finance - K Coad / Chris Jones	Medium	The risk is certification of invoices by unapproved officers resulting in payment of inappropriate invoices	The current approved signatory list is available. This list will be reviewed and updated if applicable during 2012/13.	Review the authorised signatory list and revise if app
*	15	Recommendation 13: Accounts Payable: Non-Purchase Order invoice review (Ex.51) An independent officer should review all non-purchase order invoices after entry into the Accounts Payable system. This officer should then validate the invoice for payment. This would help identify errors or inappropriate invoices.					<u> </u>
		After the manual entry of non-purchase order invoices into the Accounts Payable system there is no review by an independent officer to ensure the details entered are	Service Finance - K Coad / Chris Jones	High	The risk is that coding or payment errors occur because there is no independent review of the information entered into the	percentage of invoices processed this way, from 50% to 10%. On completion of	Complete P2P review.
		correct. In addition, the same officer who entered the invoice details also manually validates the invoice in the Accounts Payable system, which approves the invoice for			Accounts Payable System. In addition, the processing of inappropriate invoices for payment is also a risk, as the officer additional database and the state of	the review (Jan 2013) a full quality check will be implemented.	
		payment.			entering the invoice details has the ability to validate the invoice for payment, without review from another officer.		Implement a full quality check
*	40	Recommendation 14: Accounts Payable and Receivable: Control account Reconciliations (Ex.5) The Council should ensure the timely review and authorisation of all reconciliations by a senior officer.	1				
		Data Technikarian Stor & Jerning United Officers have completed regular Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable control account reconciliations. However, in November 2011 the only reconciliations authorised by a senior officer were the August, September and October 2011 reconciliations.	Strategic Finance - Ian Herberson	Medium	The risk is errors in the reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.	This process was implemented following the AGR for 2010/11. The reconciliation is completed by Financial Systems and reviewed by Strategic Finance. The review and authorisation is now done on a regular/monthly basis.	
		Recommendation 15: Account Receivable: Periodic Invoices (Ex.12) The Council should ensure the timely raising of all periodic invoices.					
		Responsibility for periodic invoicing passed from the Accounts Receivable team to the Asset Management Team in 2011/12. Officers explained there was little handover between the two sections, which resulted in problems raising periodic invoices. Asset Management Team are only raising district invoices on a piecemeal basis as they only received district Agresso reports in November 2011. In addition, the Asset Management team has expressed concern that issuing of bills to some properties has not taken place for several years.	Asset Management Team - Gerard Darby	Medium	The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.	An assets Billing working group has been set up meeting on a monthly basis. Processes are being reviewed and properties under separate classifications are being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement ascertained/. Garage rent are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.	
		Recommendation 16: Accounts Receivable: No reconciliation between Schools (SIMS) Receipts and Accounts Receivable System (Ex.13). The Council should introduce a formal reconciliation between the two systems to help ensure the upload of all receipts from the SIMS system into the Accounts Receivable system.		I			I
		No formal reconciliation between the Accounts Receivable system and Schools (SIMS) system is completed. Instead, officers rely on an error message from Oracle to identify any receipts not correctly uploaded during the upload.	Service Finance - Ian Mordue	Medium	The risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include al transactions from the Schools (SIMS) system.	II The income is input into SIMS manually via Receipts Advice forms. The Income team match/reconcile all school bankings, i.e. bank receipts to SIMS. Controlled by an Oracle weekly report showing outstanding items. Quality checking process being determined.	
						In addition, the schools funding finance team run regular Oracle reports and provide to the schools for further reconciliation. A review will be undertaken by the Schools Funding team. Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR. Debtors invoices not raised within Oracle	Determine a quality checking process
							School funding team to review the process
							Establish the meaning of the error message from Ora

			APPENDIX 2
	Complete by:	Update:	Evidence
	Complete		Evidence Received - File in Relevant Office if Required
	Complete	Reconciliations are being completed w.e.f. 01.04.12. Authorisation by independent officer to commence in March 2013 & will be carried out monthly thereafter.	Evidence held on file in Strategic Finance.
orised by	Complete	Pay Sheets and BACS files are reviewed by an independent officer within AP to ensure the pay sheet is accurate and reasonable based on previous trends.	Evidence Provided
ible.	30 November 2012 and on-going	The currect process of storing and maintaining the list of approved signatories is to be reviewed with the intention of the hard copy signatories being held locally within each service area, AP will then utilise the oracle electronic hierarchy. A meeting has been arranged for 29th May 2013 with service reprasentatives to discuss further.	
	Complete	AP Manager requested a sample report of 2% of coded invoices each day for AP Supervisors to validate, this report is not available to present. AP Supervisors will therefore select 20 random hard copy coded	Evidence Provided

Complete	AF Manager requested a sample report of 2%	Evidence
	of coded invoices each day for AP	Provided
	Supervisors to validate, this report is not	
	available to present. AP Supervisors will	
	therefore select 20 random hard copy coded	
	invoices per day to review. This commenced	
	01st May 2013 and example is attached.	

Complete	Evidence held
	on file in
	Strategic
	Finance.

Complete	Garage rents are currently invoiced by standing charges. Industrial Rents are managed by Business Durham. All other rental invoices are currently being raised manually by Asset Management. We now have access to all former District Billing systems and are invoicing all rents previously billed in those systems.	Evidence held with Assets
----------	---	------------------------------

Complete	Schools do not raise accounts receivable via SIMS	N/A
	there is therefore no upload from SIMS batches in	
	to Oracle. The recommendation seems to imply	
	that the system for invoices payable exists for	
	invoices receivable - this is not the case. 2. All	
	income banked by schools is entered on an	
	electronic E6 (Receipts Advice Form) system. This	
	system which has been used for many years	
	enables income banked by the school to be	
	processed in Oracle without the need for a paper	
	copy Receipts Advice form to be completed and	
	sent to the Income Team. This income is then	
	matched to income received by the County Council	
	to the County Fund in the same way as any other	
	County Council establishment. If the school failed	
	to complete a Receipts Advice Form for income	
	received in to the bank account or the amount	
	differed then this would be followed up by the	
	Income Team. 3. Not all income banked by schools	
	relates to their school budget, most of it relates to	
/	school meals income which is income to the Local	
	Authority (unless the school provide their own	
	school meals) therefore only income relating to the s	
vstem.		
,		

	2010/11	Finding	Responsibility	Priority	Auditor Comments	Response	Actions
		Recommendation 17: Loans and Investments: Monthly reconciliation not					
		authorised (Ex.17). The Council should ensure a senior officer reviews and authorises the monthly and year-end reconciliations.					
		Investments and Loans - Officers perform a monthly reconciliation of the Investments Monitoring Schedule, which contains details of all investments made, and the General	Strategic Finance - Ian Herberson	Medium	The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and because no review takes place this is not identified.	Following Finance Unitisation, a senior officer has now been assigned responsibility for this review.	Complete the monthly reconciliation
		Ledger and of all PWLB interest and principal payments in the General Ledger to the				·	Review the reconciliation - ensure that it is correct, or t
		PWLB Loan Schedule. In both cases, a senior officer reviews the reconciliation. However, there is no evidence					corrective action.
		of this review taking place.					Retain evidence of the review of the reconciliation
*	18	Recommendation 18: Oracle Projects: No authorised signatories list (Ex.54). Service Direct should compile an authorised signatories list to provide assurance that		•		·	15
		all extraction forms and time sheets have suitable approval.					
		No authorised signatories list is in place at the Service Direct site. This affects both	Building & Facilities -	Medium	The risk is that an inappropriate individual approves both the	All goods are ordered electronically using the Oracle system by the newly created	
		extraction forms, used to confirm the receipt of goods, and manual time sheets. Site Foremen sign both to confirm their accuracy. It was not possible to confirm that an	Paul Burr		extraction forms and time sheets, resulting in the processing of inaccurate information.	f Materials Controllers posts and the requisitoner is identified at that stage. Goods are 'receipted' by the Materials Controller once they have been received. They	
		approved individual signed the extraction form and time sheet tested as no authorised signatories list is in place.				use the delivery note as proof or confirm with the requistioner / Site Foreman / Supervisor that the goods have been received	Action completed
		signatories instra in place.					
*	19	Recommendation 19: Oracle Projects: Lack of evidence of surveyor visit or					
		authorisation (Ex.55) Service Direct should ensure that Oracle Projects or a hard					
		copy file documents all work completed by the Surveyor.					
		Officers claimed Surveyors re-measure Service Direct jobs to identify actual charges.	Building & Facilities -	Medium	Officers claimed Surveyors re-measure Service Direct jobs to	Once a job is completed it is approved by the clients agent (Buildings Surveyor /	Т
		However, there is no evidence of this inspection taking place. As the job file does not	Paul Burr	weatan	identify actual charges. However, there is no evidence of this	Architect) who issues a Practical Completion certificate with or without a snagging	3
		contain details of the Surveyors visit. Evidence of the surveyors approving the job is also limited.			inspection taking place. As the job file does not contain details of the Surveyors visit. Evidence of the surveyors approving the	list which are minor items of work still to be completed. After the 12 months defects liability period comes to an end a further inspection is carried	
					job is also limited.	out by the client's agent and Direct Services and any remedial works are then undertaken. On completion of these defects a 'Making Good / Final Certificate' is	Action completed
						issued by the clients agent. This system has	
						been recently reinforced and is monitored and reported upon by B & FM's Programme Planner and we are now confident that all projects follow this process	3
						which ensures all jobs are 'signed off' and we improve our customer satisfaction levels.	
		Recommendation 20: Repairs and Maintenance: Delivery note filing (Ex.20) Service Direct should ensure filing of all delivery notes in date or supplier order.			·		. <u> </u>
			Puilding & Equilition	Low	The risk is that Site Foremen do not provide the Materials	Agree with the recommendation and this will be implemented as seen as	1
		No formal filing system is in place for the delivery notes received by the Materials Controller. As a result it was difficult to find specific delivery notes	Building & Facilities - Paul Burr	Low	Controllers with delivery notes. Instead, the Material Controller		
					simply receipts the goods in Oracle when required to, to ensure the prompt payment of invoices. This may therefore result in	e	Ensure that the recommendation has been implemented
					inaccurate delivery and payment of goods.		
*	18	Recommendation 21: Repairs and Maintenance: No authorised signatories list					
		(Ex.21) Service Direct should compile an authorised signatories list to provide assurance that all time sheets have suitable approval.					
		No authorised signatories list is in place at the Service Direct site. Approved officers sign manual time sheets completed by employees to confirm their accuracy. However,	Building & Facilities - Paul Burr	Medium	The risk is that an inappropriate individual approves time sheets, resulting in the processing of inaccurate information.	Agree with the recommendation, and this will be implemented as soon as possible	
		as there is no authorised signatories list it was not possible to confirm that the officer	T au Dun		sheets, resulting in the processing of maccurate mormation.	possible	
		signing the extraction form and time sheet was approved to do so.					Ensure that the recommendation has been implem
_		Personmandation 22: Panairs and Maintananas, Pessinting of materials in					
		Recommendation 22: Repairs and Maintenance: Receipting of materials in Oracle (Ex.22) An independent officer should review receipts entered into Oracle to					
		ensure the information entered is accurate, before Oracle recognises the receipts.					
		The receipting of goods in Oracle for the transaction tested was not completed correctly. This is because the officer receipting the goods wrongly included the price	Financial Systems - Keith Munroe	Medium	The risk is the individual receipting the goods enters wrong information resulting in inappropriate balances in the General	Introducing an independent check on every receipt entered is not practical as a control to eradicate occasional errors; plus there is no standard functionality to	
		(£12.98) in the quantity received column; while including the quantity received (1) in the			Ledger. Although, budget monitoring would identify this, it could	d facilitate and it would require a customisation. The compensating control is that	
		price column. Oracle updates any commitment already in the system, through multiplying the quantity			be time-consuming to correct any errors uploaded in such a way.	all outstanding accruals for material amounts (above £250) are circulated (on a monthly basis) for review.	No action required
		by the unit price. This inaccurate treatment had no impact on the updated commitment; however officers stated that large errors have occurred because of the inaccurate					
		receipting of goods in Oracle.					
		Recommendation 23: SSID: Reconciliation of the SSID upload to the Accounts					
		Payable system (Ex.16) The Council should ensure the year-end reconciliation uses the control total sheet and that a senior officer reviews and authorises the year-end					
-		reconciliation Officers complete an informal reconciliation between the SSID upload file and the	Accounts Payable -	Medium	The risk is the reconciliation is not in place and as a result not	Although governed by the constraints of Oracle, reconciliation/control sheets are	Ensure completion of the reconciliation
		Accounts Payable system after every SSID upload. However, there is no control sheet in place and no formal documentation of the reconciliation exists.	Chris Jones		all invoices are uploaded to the Accounts Payable system for payment.	now checked, maintained and filed following each upload. It is assumed that the year end reconciliation is carried out by an officer from Strategic Finance.	
		Officers have provided assurance that a control sheet will be introduced by the year-			P = ,		Review the reconciliation and ensure correct, or take conciliation
		end.					Retain evidence of review
-		Recommendation 24: SIMS: Invoice not authorised for payment (Ex.23) School					<u> </u>
		Finance staff should ensure that all invoices are authorised. The School Manager should keep all GRN's on file to provide evidence the goods have been received.					
		The Durham Federation Finance Team stated that an approved individual signs all	Service Finance -	Low	The risk is the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In	A reminder will be issued to schools via the Extranet, about recommended	1
		invoices before payment. However, the invoice tested was not authorised for payment.	David Shirer	LOW	addition, goods may not have been received as there is no	procedures.	No action required
L		In addition, there is no documentary evidence of the School Manager confirming the goods were received.			formal documentation of the School Manager receiving the goods.		·
		Recommendation 25: SIMS: Authorised Signatories List (Ex.24) The School Funding Team should ensure the annual updating of all authorised signatory lists.					
		The Payment Authorisation Control Listing (PACL) tested was authorised by a member	Service Finance -	Medium	The risk is an unapproved individual signs the PACL and as	Agreed. The Creditor Team within School Funding will pursue this after half-term	
		of staff not included on the authorised signatories list held by the School Funding Team.	David Shirer		the signatories lists are not up to date this is not identified. This could result in payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices.		
		Officers stated the authorised signatories list was out-of-date and provided evidence they were included on the petty cash authorised signatories list, which is a suitable					No action required
		compensating control.					
		Recommendation 26: SIMS: Reconciliation of the SIMS upload to Accounts					
		Payable system (Ex.25) The Council should ensure the year-end reconciliation uses the control total sheet and also ensure a senior officer reviews and authorises the year-					
L		end reconciliation.					

	Complete by:	Update:	APPENDIX 2 Evidence
	Complete by.	upuate.	Evidence
or take	Complete	Monthly reconciliations are complete for both principal and interest which has been balanced to both core records and Oracle GL in respect of loans and investments. All reconciliations are reviewed and approved to 31 January 2013.	Evidence is held in Strategic Finance.
	Complete	A signatory list is included as part of the Builidng and Facilities Maintenance's ISO 9001:2008 Accreditation.	Signatories List to be provided asap - signatures are required from a couple of staff who have currently been absent from
	Complete	The work undertaken by all of the Quantity Surveyors results in an estimate (stored electronically) and a file is kept of all workings filed by the estimate reference.	Evidence is held with the Estimations Team.
nted.	Complete	This is not practical as we neither have the space to file delivery notes or the resources to undertake the task or scan the delivery notes in and file them. However, the Material Controllers check the delivery notes against the order and 'receipt' this on the screen destroying the delivery note once this is done.	N/A
emented.	Complete	A signatory list is included as part of Builidng and Facilities Maintenance's ISO 9001:2008 Accreditation.	Signatories List to be provided asap - signatures are required from a couple of staff who have currently been absent from work
	Complete		N/A
e corrective	Complete	Although governed by the constraints of Oracle, reconciliation/control sheets are now checked, maintained and filed following each upload. It is assumed that the year end reconciliation is carried out by an officer from Strategic Finance.	Evidence Provided
	Complete	Note placed on the Schools Extranet 5 July 2012 reminding schools of procedures	Evidence Provided
	Complete	Note placed on Schools Extranet 15 January 2013 – copy of evidence attached. File held in	Evidence Provided

		-	_			_			APPENDIX 2
	2010/11	Finding Officers complete an informal reconciliation between the SIMS upload file and the	Responsibility Service Finance -	Priority Medium	Auditor Comments	Response This is now done. Reports from SIMS are sent to Creditors who then report on	Actions	Complete by: Update:	Evidence Evidence
		Accounts Payable system after every SIMS upload. However, there is no control sheet	David Shirer	weaturn		discrepancies to School Funding		Complete	Received - File
		in place. Therefore no formal documentation of the reconciliation exists.			payment.		Action Complete		in Relevant
		Officers have provided assurance that a control sheet will be introduced by the year-							Office if
		ena.							Required
		Recommendation 27: Housing Benefits: Council Tax Benefit duplicate payment				•			•
		(Ex. 40) The Council should ensure that officers review all cases on the spool report							
		and make manual amendments to the affected claims. City of Durham - Following conversion from the Northgate system to the Civica	Service Finance -	Low	The risk is the Council Tax Benefit posted to the claimants	Conversion testing identified an issue regarding duplicated CTB payments,		Complete	Evidence
		system, officers identified 48 claims with a total value of £17,403.33 receiving a	M Waters / J Scotney		Council Tax account is wrong.	CIVICA subsequently ran a utility identifying a total of 48 affected claims. All			Provided
		duplicate Council Tax Benefit (CTB) payment in error. These claims were logged to be				claims have now been corrected and updated. The CTB posted to the Council			
		corrected. Testing found that the Council made a duplicate Council Tax Benefit payment to				Tax accounts has also been checked and is correct.			
		Council Tax account 3612363390 for claim number 1009328.							
		As at 4 January 2012 officers had not amended the affected claims.							
*	26	Recommendation 28: Housing Benefits: Benefit payment controls (Ex.41) The							
		Council should ensure a Senior officer reviews all Housing Benefits payments before							
-		submission. Authorisation of Housing Benefit payments by a senior officer before processing is not	Service Finance -	High	The risk is payment of inaccurate or fraudulent payments, as	All HB payment runs (BACS & Cheque) are now countersigned by a senior officer		Complete	Evidence
		required.	M Waters / J Scotney	0	there is no requirement for the payment file to be authorised.	in line with the authorized signatory list before submission. Spreadsheets now			Provided
						record details of the officer creating the payment file, counter signing officer and offer responsible for the file submission.			
		Recommendation 29: Housing Benefits: Rent Rebate Reconciliations (Ex.42)							
		The Council should introduce a standardised format for completing reconciliations.							
		Timely three-way reconciliations between the General Ledger, Housing Benefits and Housing Rents system, which are reviewed and authorised by a senior officer. All							
		entries and reconciling items should be referenced to supporting documentation.							
⊢		Easington and Wear Valley	Service Finance -	High	The risk is the values contained within the Housing Rents	At the time of the walkthrough, reconciliations had not been completed on the		Complete	Evidence
1		Easington and wear valley Prior to January 2012, Rent Rebate reconciliations between the Housing Benefit	C Blackburn / T Robinson	riigit	systems do not agree to the Housing Benefits system.	new merged system. Since January 2012, a full reconciliation has taken place for		Complete	Provided
1		system and the East Durham Homes and Dale and Valley Homes systems have only				2011/12 for all three of the HRA providers, with any discrepancies identified and			
1		been completed on the former district systems.				noted. Reconciliation procedures have been developed and implemented			
1		City of Durham The reconciliation does not reconcile the Housing Benefit system to the Housing rents system. Instead it is only reconciles the Housing Benefits system to				internally. Reconciliation procedures have also been agreed with the housing providers, EDH, D&VH and DCH and reconciliations will continue to be			
		the General Ledger. Durham				completed as part of the overall Rents Reconciliations for all three areas.			
		County Council (Unitary) Officers have not performed reconciliations during							
⊢		2011/12. Recommendation 30: Housing Benefits: Council Tax Benefit Reconciliations (Ex.			l	1			
1		<ul><li>42) The Council should introduce a standardised format for completing reconciliations.</li></ul>							
		A senior should review also review and authorise the reconciliations. All entries and							
1		reconciling items should be referenced to supporting documentation.							
		No Council Tax benefit reconciliation has been completed during 2011/12.	Strategic Finance - Ian	High	The risk is the values contained within the General Ledger	Following completion of the merged new system full reconciliation has taken		Complete	Evidence
			Herberson / Susan Oliver		for Council Tax Benefit may not agree to those held within the Housing Benefit and Council Tax systems.	place. Since January 2012 the monthly reconciliations have re-commenced.			Provided
		Recommendation 31: Housing Benefits: Rent Allowance Reconciliations (Ex.42)			Housing Benefit and Council Tax systems.				
		The Council should introduce a standardised format for completing reconciliations. A							
		senior should review also review and authorise the reconciliations. All entries and							
		reconciling items should be referenced to supporting documentation.							
		Chester-le-Street				Pre merged Chester le Street - Officers have identified the discrepancies and		Complete	Evidence
		The format of the reconciliation is difficult to understand. In addition, unreconciled items with a value of £110,000 are included.				are working to resolve the issue. <b>City of Durham -</b> The balance appeared to exclude the £67, however this was a			Provided
		City of Durham				result of an unclear explanation. It had been identified during a reconciliation of			
		The reconciliation includes a BACS returned value for the period 1 September - 23				the new system and the reconciliation details have been amended to explain the			
		October 2011 of £2,366.16. However, this balance appears to exclude the BACS				discrepancy.			
		payment of £67 returned on 13 September 2011.							
		Sedgefield	Service Finance -	High		Sedgefield - This amount was the advanced payment made prior to the			
		The reconciliation prior to merge identified there were payments of £1,977,097.33 included in the Housing Benefit system that were not in the General Ledger. In addition,	C Blackburn / T Robinson			shutdown of the Northgate system. This was identified during the reconciliation process and the former Sedgefield system has been reconciled and balanced.			
		there is no evidence of follow-up to ensure the payment was subsequently included in				Durham County Council - Merged - Following 'go live' of the new system,			
		the General Ledger. The reconciliation prior to merge identified there were payments				procedures are in place to reconcile payments on a monthly basis going forward.			
		of £1,977,097.33 included in the Housing Benefit system that were not in the General Ledger. In addition, there is no evidence of follow-up to ensure the payment was				A full reconciliation has taken place for the 2011/12 merged system, with any discrepancies identified and noted. This will be completed during May 2012.			
		subsequently included in the General Ledger.				discrepancies identified and noted. This will be completed during way 2012.			
		Durham County Council - Merged - The reconciliation has not been completed in a							
		timely manner and there is no evidence of review of the reconciliation by a senior							
		Recommendation 32: Housing Benefits: First payment made to landlords (Ex.43)							
L		The Council should only make first payments to the claimant's landlord if the claimant has approved the payment.							
		The Council has followed the guidance in A4/2011 of making first payments to	Service Finance -	High		Housing Benefit (LHA & A4/2011) guidance states that an authority may make		Complete	Evidence
1		landlords, as detailed in the Council's official guidance issued to assessors. In the one case tested, the claimant made specific requests that they receive the first payment	C Blackburn / T Robinson		Benefit to the wrong recipient and would therefore be liable to repay the claimant the first payment. In addition, there is a	the first payment of Housing Benefit to the landlord, where they consider that it will assist the customer in securing or retaining a tenancy.			Provided
1		direct			potential risk through breaching the Data Protection Act 2000	the design of design of the de			
1					by paying the claimants landlord without the claimants consent.				
*	27	Recommendation 33: Housing Benefits: Parameters (Ex.44) The Council should			1				
1		ensure a senior officer reviews and authorises the parameters entered into the merged							
⊢		system. Officers could not provide evidence a senior officer has reviewed the parameters in the	Service Finance -	High	The risk is the parameters in the merged system have been	Spreadsheets were available detailing all parameters set and whilst all		Complete	Evidence
1		new merged Housing Benefit system.	M Waters / J Scotney	( ''9''		parameters were checked by a senior officer the spreadsheets were not			Provided
1					the system will be inaccurate.	countersigned.			
1						Moving forward as part of 2012/13 annual billing processes all parameters have been set in accordance with the 2012/13 charges. All parameters have been			
1						checked and countersigned. The process of counter signing has now been			
⊢		Recommendation 34: Council Tax: Reconciliation of VOA schedule to RV			l	adopted for all parameter changes			
1		reports (Ex.32) The Council should ensure the review and authorisation of the							
⊢	ļ	reconciliation by a senior officer.	Sorvino Einenee - K.O	Madium	The rick is the reconneilisticne are not accurate and accurate	Propodurop have now been implemented to formally as and the assistance of the		Complete	Evidor -
1		Officers have completed a reconciliation of VOA schedule to banding reports. However, there is no evidence of review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a	Service Finance - K Coad	Medium	The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and as no review takes place this is not identified.	Procedures have now been implemented to formally record the review which is undertaken by a senior officer.		Complete	Evidence Provided
L		senior officer.							
1		Recommendation 35: Council Tax and NNDR: Unable to provide documentation of new property (Ex.34 and 40) The Council should ensure that all documentation is							
		found and suitably filed.							
1		Officers stated that planning department report all new properties or amendments to	Service Finance - K Coad /	Medium	The risk is that some properties are not charged Council Tax	Following the implementation of the unitary systems and structure, procedures		Complete	Evidence
1		existing properties that require assessment for Council Tax and NNDR purposes. However, the supporting documentation for one new property was not readily available	A Searle		and NNDR as assessments are not undertaken.	have been put into place and documentation centralised and filed.			Provided
1		as evidence for testing at the time our work was carried out for Council tax at							
1		Sedgefield and NNDR at Derwentside, due to staff and documentation being located on							
*	22, 24	several sites. Recommendation 36: Council Tax and NNDR : Authorisation of Parameters			1	1			<u> </u>
1		(Ex.46 and Ex.37) The Council should ensure a senior officer should review the							
l I	1	2012/13 parameters, and formal documentation of the review kept.							

							APPENDIX 2
2010/11	Finding	Responsibility	Priority	Auditor Comments	Response         Actions           All former district sites are now obsolete i.e. Sedgefield, Wear Valley, Teesdale,	Complete by: Update:	Evidence Evidence
	Sedgefield The parameters for 2011/12 were input into the system and then reviewed by an			was in place to identify the errors.	All former district sites are now obsolete i.e. Sedgetield, wear valley, i eesdale, Derwentside, Chester-le-Street and Easington.	complete	Provided
	independent officer. However, documentation of the review took place several months				Durham County Unitary - Spreadsheets were available detailing all parameters		
	after entering the parameters. Therefore there is only evidence of this control taking place in retrospect.				set and whilst all parameters where checked by a senior officer the spreadsheets were not countersigned. As part of 2012/13 annual billing processes all		
	Council tax - Wear Valley, Teesdale and Derwentside Senior				parameters were set in accordance with the 2012/13 charges.		
	officers entered the parameters and more junior staff then reviewed them (NB				All parameters were checked and countersigned. The process of counter signing		
	Teesdale - a senior officer did not review and authorise the NNDR parameters). This is a control weakness as there is a risk that junior staff do not have the relevant expertise				has now been adopted for all parameter changes.		
	and may feel pressured into agreeing the work of more senior officers when errors						
	have occurred. Chester-le-Street and Easington Officers could not find supporting documentation to support the annual updating of						
	parameters.	Service Finance - M Waters / J Scotney	High				
		w waters / J Scotney					
	City of Durham – NNDR only						
	Officers claimed the parameters were input by two members of staff and then reviewed by a senior officer. However, the officer who claimed they reviewed the parameters has						
	signed the supporting documentation as the inputting officer. The documentation does						
	not include any evidence of another individual reviewing the parameters. Therefore						
	there is no evidence to support the procedures described by officers. Durham County Unitary						
	Officers could not provide documentary evidence of review of the Council Tax						
	parameters after migration from the former district systems to the new unitary system.						
	Recommendation 37: Council Tax and NNDR: Fund account reconciliations						
	(Ex.62) The Council should try to deal with all reconciling items ready for the year-						
	end reconciliation. A senior officer should also review and authorise the year-end reconciliation. Going forward all reconciliations should be completed on a timely basis.						
	· · ·						
	The Unitary Council Tax and NNDR system reconciliations were not completed in a timely manner and included a significant number of reconciling items, which officers	Service Finance - K Coad / J Dowson	High	The risk is the General Ledger does not include all Council Ta and NNDR transactions.	x Extensive work has been carried out in this area. A detailed action plan was developed, which included year end closedown procedures as well as a	Complete	Evidence Provided
	were unable to explain as part of the January 2012 reconciliation.	0 Dowson			Reconciliation Proforma Log. Weekly meetings/updates continue to be conducted ensure completion & review.All Reconciliations including		TTOVIded
	However, officers intend to resolve most reconciling items ready for the year-end				2011 are saved in the Audit Commission Folder each		
	reconciliation. In addition, no evidence of senior officer review and authorisation has taken place.				A senior officer has responsibility for reviewing all income reconciliations in accordance with a pre-approved checklist, on at least a monthly basis.		
	Recommendation 38: NNDR: Reconciliation of VO Schedules to RV reports				an ongoing basis		
	(Ex.36) The Council should ensure the review and authorisation of the reconciliation						
	by a senior officer. Durham County Council – All Sites	Service Finance - K Coad /	Medium	The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and as no	Procedures have now been implemented to formally record the review which is	Complete	Evidence
	Officers have completed a reconciliation of VOA schedule to banding reports.	A Searle	Medium	review takes place this is not identified. Also risk that NNDR	undertaken by senior officer	Complete	Provided
	However, there is no evidence of review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a			records are incomplete and as a result not all NNDR income			
	senior officer. Easington			that should be received is			
	For the 20 April 2011 the VO schedule states there are properties of 2,365 with a				Complete		
	rateable value of 49,231,651. However, the property control report from the NNDR						
	system shows properties of 2,364 with a rateable value of 49,254,901. Officers could not explain this difference.						
* 34	Recommendation 39: Housing Rents: Review of feeder system upload files not						
	documented (Ex.26) Officers should sign both the hardcopy summary file received						
	from the feeder systems and the batch upload file from the Housing Rents system.						
	Officers at East Durham Homes reconcile the feeder system upload files and the batch	Service Finance - K Coad /	Medium	The risk is the reconciliation does not take place as it is not	This reconciliation is completed by DCC for all Rents. The Revenues section	Complete	Evidence
	uploaded into the Housing Rents system to ensure that it is complete. However, no documentary evidence of this review is kept. This is the case for both Cash Receipting	J Hughes		evidenced. As a result errors may occur that are not identified.	undertakes a reconciliation showing the cash processed through ICON into the various rent systems. This is then confirmed with the rents teams to the figures		Provided
	and Housing Benefit files				uploaded into the rent systems.		
	Recommendation 40: Housing Rents: Weekly cash reconciliation not documented (Ex.27) All reconciliations should be reviewed, checked and authorised						
	by a senior officer. Particular emphasis should be placed on ensuring the Year end						
	housing rents reconciliations are reviewed and authorised. A control sheet could be introduced to evidence the reconciliation has taken place						
	The weekly reconciliation between the control spreadsheet for EDH, for both Cash	Service Finance - K Coad /	Medium	The risk is the reconciliation does not take place as it is not	This reconciliation is completed by DCC for all Rents. The Revenues section	Complete	Evidence
	Receipting and Housing Benefit payments received, and the total payments as recorded in Orchard is completed (although not evidenced formally). However, there is	J Hughes		evidenced. As a result errors may occur that are not identified.	undertakes a reconciliation showing the cash processed through ICON into the various rent systems. This is then confirmed with the rents teams to the figures		Provided
	no independent review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.				uploaded into the rent systems.		
	Recommendation 41: Reconciliations between the rents system and General						
	Ledger not completed (Ex. 28) The Council should ensure completion of year-end						
	reconciliations for all three sites. A senior officer should review and authorise all reconciliations.						
	Easington	Service Finance - J Hughes	High	The risk is the General Ledger does not include all Housing	The `informal` reconciliation has been carried out for several years by the Rent	Complete	Evidence
	As at 10 January 2012 officers had completed an informal reconciliation for the period			Rent transactions.	team at EDH. However the reconciliation reviewed did not include a signed		Provided
	April to November 2011. Officers are developing a control sheet that will formally document the reconciliation undertaken and are hoping to use this to document all				control sheet. It is acknowledged that this reconciliation should follow the standard format identified and therefore the process has now been introduced		
	2011/12 monthly reconciliations retrospectively.						
	Wear Valley and City of Durham No monthly reconciliations between the Housing Rents system and the General Ledger						
	have been completed during 2011/12.						
* 34	Recommendation 42: Housing Rents: Authorisation of the rents uprating			1			-1
	calculation (Ex.29) The rents uprating calculation should be authorised on a timely						
	basis. A senior officer has reviewed and authorised the rent restructure document used to	Service Finance - J Hughes	Medium	The risk is the rent calculation for 2011/12 contains errors that	The senior officer reviewed and authorised the rent restructure prior to the rents	Complete	Evidence
	uprate the rents across all three sites. However, this was in retrospect several months			were not identified.	eing uploaded, however the documentation evidencing this procedure was		Provided
	after the uprating took place. Therefore there is a weakness in the timeliness of the control.				completed retrospectively. The 2012/13 review was completed and calculations signed off at the same time prior to upload.		
	Recommendation 43: Housing Rents: Agreement of properties in the rent						
	restructure document to the rents system (Ex.30) The Council should agree properties in the rent structure to the rents system on a timely basis.						
	Officers carried out a random check of 15 properties for all three sites in retrospect	Service Finance - J Hughes	Medium		t A review of all three rent systems had taken place to confirm that the rents were	Complete	Evidence
	several months after the new rents were uploaded to the rents systems. Therefore there is a weakness in the timeliness of this control.			this was not identified.	uploaded correctly, however as noted above, the documentation evidencing this procedure was completed retrospectively. During audit sample checking, no		Provided
					errors were found in relation to the upload. The 2012/13 review was completed		
					and calculations signed off at the same time prior to upload.		
	Recommendation 44: Housing Rents: Rent download and upload (Ex.39) The			-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Council should ensure officers gain an understanding of the process carried out by contractors so they can review the contractors work, or if the contractor is not available						
<u> </u>	can complete the download themselves.	<u></u>		The state of the s			
	The rent download and upload for City of Durham is completed by a contractor based in Spain. It was not possible for us to walkthrough the process at the time of the audit.	Service Finance - J Hughes	Medium	The risk is the contractor is not completing the rent download and uploads correctly and as a result of no review of the	In the context of the data load of new rents into the system, the rents are checked as above in order to review the contractors work. Should the contractor not be	Complete	Evidence Provided
				processes undertaken this is not identified.	available, then the system supplier, Northgate, (or other consultants) would be		
<b>├</b> - <b>├</b> - <b>└</b> - <b>i</b> -	Recommendation 45: Housing Rents: No review of property removal (Ex.45) The			1	able to undertake any requirements.		
	Council should introduce a review of all properties removed to ensure the removal has						
	been completed correctly.						

	2010/11	Finding	Responsibility	Priority	Auditor Comments	Response	Actions
		A process is in place for the removal of properties from the Housing Rents system. However, this does not involve the property removed being reviewed by an independent officer to ensure the removal is correct.	Service Finance - J Hughes	Medium		There are current processes in place to inform the Rent Teams to remove properties from the Rent Account. Any errors are spotted by the nature of the service. For example, should a RTB not be removed and the Authority continued to charge the rent, the former tenant advises immediately of the problem. Similarly, should a demolished property not be removed, the rent arrears would be highlighted immediately and upon investigation the problem would be found. There is an overall check at the year end as part of the Final Accounts process, where a reconciliation of stock numbers is undertaken for the HRA Statement. A similar mid-year check took place in previous years as part of the Housing	Continue the mid-year reconciliation
						Subsidy Base data return and whilst this return is no longer valid, it is the Head of Finance (Financial Services) intention to continue with this mid-year reconciliation.	
		Recommendation 46: Cash Receipting: Suspense Account (Ex.58) The Council should clear the suspense account of all large items by the year-end.			1	1	<u></u>
		As at 15 March 2012 the total value in suspense was 11,613,361.52. Of this balance, £1,568,871.34 related to March 2012. This does show significant improvement in managing suspense items from the very high balance seen early February 2012 which occurred due to the assignment of incorrect references meaning transactions were not automatically allocated. This has now resolved.	Service Finance - K Coad / J Dowson	High	The risk is that a high volume of income is not correctly posted by the year-end.	The re - referencing has been resolved. Suspense amounts are being cleared on a daily basis and monitored weekly by management. The year end action plan ensured that all payments were posted on 31 March 2012.	
		Recommendation 47: Cash Receipting: Cash sheet totals reconciliation not evidenced (Ex.59) The Council should ensure documentation is maintained to provide				Complete	
		evidence of reconciliations taking place. No reconciliation was performed between the cash taken by the Spennymoor Cash Office and the amount recorded in ICON for the date tested, as the cash office printers were not working. This resulted in no hard copy documentation being obtained. Officers did however state that they reviewed ICON to ensure the values reconciled, although this review is not evidenced.	Service Finance - K Coad / J Dowson	Medium	The risk is the reconciliation is not performed and as a result errors are not identified.	Upon failure of a printer, end of day reports can be produced in the back office. All cashiers bankings have been reconciled from 1 April 2011 as part of the bank reconciliation. These form part of the reconciliation action plan.	
		Recommendation 48: Cash Receipting: Cash upload files reconciliation (Ex.60) The Council should reconcile all accounts in the General Ledger that are affected by the upload file. In addition, the reconciliation should be reviewed and authorised by a					
		senior officer. A reconciliation is performed between the Cash upload file received from the ICON system and the cash recorded in the General Ledger, however this was only introduced 1 December 2011. The reconciliation only reconciles the element of the upload file coded to account 936900 - System Cash Account in the General Ledger. As a result the reconciliation for 9 January 2012 only reconciled £1,025,427.99 of £2,781,731.26 that was included in the cash upload file. In addition, there is no review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.	Service Finance - K Coad / J Dowson	High	The risk is the reconciliation does not highlight differences between the upload file and the General Ledger, as it only focuses on one General Ledger account and is not reviewed and authorised.	Originally this reconciliation only included amounts going through ICON, which explains that difference. Since then, the daily reconciliation has been extended to include all income. A senior officer now has responsibility for reviewing all income reconciliations in accordance with a pre-approved checklist, on at least a monthly basis.	
		Recommendation 49: Cash Receipting: Duplicate References (Ex.61) The Council should ensure that all income posted to duplicate reference accounts has been investigated and evidence gained that it has been posted to the correct account.		I	1	1	
		When the new ICON system was introduced there were many duplicate account references in the former district systems. As a result income received for one account may be wrongly posted to the other based on the duplicate reference. Officers have stated that this is no longer an issue as this was identified early in 2011/12. They have also stated the fund account reconciliations are identifying any errors.	Service Finance - K Coad / J Dowson	Low	The residual risk is that income posted to the wrong account is not identified but this is not a material risk.	An exercise was undertaken to identify all duplicate account numbers and where possible checked for wrong payments. The ICON allocation rules were amended from September 2011 to ensure that this could no longer happen.	
*	29	Recommendation 50: Bank Reconciliations: Bank Reconciliations not prepared or authorised on a timely basis (Ex.56) The Council should ensure Bank reconciliations for all accounts should be prepared and authorised on a timely basis.					
		As at 26 March 2012 the most recently completed County Fund reconciliation was 31 December 2011. January and February 2012 reconciliations were signed as prepared and reviewed on 27 March 2012 but they contained material amounts of items in the bank but not in General Ledger. Not all of these amounts were identified to transaction level.			The risk is that bank or General Ledger errors will not be identified and corrected on a timely basis.	Picked up by the Spennymoor team where extensive work has been carried out in this area. A detailed action plan has been developed. Weekly meetings/ updates continue to be conducted to monitor progress. A draft reconciliation is complete to 31 March 2012. However, a matching exercise is still underway. The finding refers to material, unreconciled items, this refers to cheque and BACS payments made from the Open Revenues system and not processed through Oracle.	
		No district reconciliations have been undertaken since September 2011. Officers stated that one reconciliation will be prepared for each district, not each account, for the last 6 months of the year. In addition, there is no evidence the former district September 2011 reconciliations were reviewed and authorised. No Income Collection fund bank reconciliation has been undertaken during the year. This is because the bank reconciliation facility within ICON is not working. In addition, the Bank Reconciliations are not authorised on a timely basis. Bank reconciliations are also being authorised even though they include material reconciling items that have not been identified.	Service Finance K Coad / J Dowson / Strategic Finance - Ian Small	High		As there was no interface in place until April-12 to process the relevant GL coding in Oracle for these items, manual journals have been processed instead. There is now an exercise underway to match bank transactions (BACS batch values and individual cheque amounts) with manual journal entries (batch amounts). This should be completed by the w/e 20/5/12. As highlighted, the last reconcillations were carried out as at 30 September 2011. Since this date, each of these accounts have operated on an imprest basis (in that all credit transactions received are transferred on a daily basis over to the Income Collection account and are accounted for via (ICON). Any debits that hit the execute a seded meanuli, The should be the transaction account and are accounted for the locome of these negative for these meanuling the transactions.	Ensure the reconciliations are completed, reviewed evidence retained The current position is that David Watchman and lar currently working on the County Fund bank reconcil December 2012.
						account are coded manually in Oracle. The balances on each of these accounts were brought to zero as at 31 March 2012. The final exercise is still to be undertaken to ensure that the GL balances for each, reconcile to zero at the end c	
		Recommendation 51: Bank Reconciliations: Material sum of items through bank not included in General Ledger (Ex. 57) The Council should ensure that reconciliations are only authorised when all reconciling items have been identified.		1	1	1	L
		No interface between the Open Revenues system and the General Ledger exists. Therefore transactions are being posted manually. This has resulted in large reconciling items in the Bank reconciliation as items have been included in the Bank but not yet posted to the General Ledger. For example in January 2012 there was a total of £100.9 million. Some, but not all of these balances, have been identified down to transaction level. Even though these reconciling items exist, the bank reconciliations	Service Finance - K Coad / J Dowson	High	The risk is the General Ledger will not accurately reflect the bank transactions, which may impact on other areas such as budgetary control. Manual posting introduces an added risk of error or manipulation	A senior officer now has responsibility for reviewing all reconciliations in accordance with a pre-approved checklist, on at least a monthly basis.	

Complete b		PPENDIX 2 Evidence
Complete		Evidence Provided
Complete	Volume and value of suspense is now a local performance indicator, reported both weekly and monthly. Substantial improvement achieved	Evidence Provided
Complete	Any previous cash-up reports can also be viewed and re-printed. (See Evidence). Daily checks are undertaken to ensure ALL bankings are correcct and that they have gone in to Bank Reconciliation System. Again these are reconciled to the bank statement.Further evidence is available on site within the systems.Additionally,all staff provided with Cash Handling Policy,recently reviewed & updated	Evidence Provided
Complete	The ICON reconciliation to the GL was only introduced on a daily basis on the 1 <sup>st</sup> December 2011, however it was then also completed retrospectively back to when ICON was implemented - 16 <sup>th</sup> March 2011. Reconciliation is reviewed and authorised on at least a monthly basis and forms part of the monthly Reconciliation Pro Forma Log mentioned earlier.ICON is being rolled out to every remaining establishment by August 2013	Evidence Provided
Complete	Action was taken on accordance with Civica's Solution and all issues were resolved by September 2011, i.e.all duplicated were re- referenced,customers contacted,bank rules	Evidence Provided
	and validation were changed & tightened,account holder tables amended, additional lines for interfaces & impexes.Further evidence available on site	
Complete	Reconciliation for Income Account in 11/12 was not done throughout that year until a manual reconciliation was completed in February 12 and another final one for the year in March 12. Evidence supplied. Going forward, reconciliations have been undertaken on a timely and appropriate basis	Evidence Provided
hall are on for		

									APPENDIX 2
2010/11	Finding	Responsibility	Priority	Auditor Comments	Response	Actions	Complete by:	Update:	Evidence
	Recommendation 52: SPOCC: No reconciliation between the SPOCC system and								
	the Accounts Payable system (Ex.31) The Council								
	should perform formal reconciliations between the SPOCC system and the Accounts								
	Payable System. A senior officer should review and authorise the reconciliations.								
	There is no formal reconciliation between the Accounts Payable system and SPOCC	Accounts Payable -	Medium	The risk is the Accounts Payable system and General Ledge	er Although governed by the constraints of Oracle, reconciliation/control sheets are		Complete	Complete	Evidence
	system. Officers stated they gain assurance that all payments processed through the	Chris Jones		will not be complete.	now checked, maintained and filed following each upload. An AP supervisor				Provided
	SPOCC system are uploaded into the Accounts Payable system for payment, if no				reviews and authorises the reconciliation.				
	error warning appears when the file is uploaded.								

Recommendation	Finding	Responsibility	Priority	Auditor Comments	Response	Actions	Commentary	Evidence Provided	Co
1	Revaluations - Schools	Assets - Michael Gilbey	High	The Council Valuer will need to consider which assets are revalued in 2012/13 to avoid any problems with part valuation of schools. The Council should ensure that changes in valuations as at April and at the year end (31 March) are fully considered by finance as well as estates before deciding whether to account for these in the financial statements. A full valuation of schools should be completed as at 1 April 2012.	consideration.	Agree rolling programme of valuations with External Audit. Issue instructions to Assets - Valuer. Complete agreed valuations in line with agreed programme,	The rolling programme for valuations has been agreed with the External Auditor. The instruction to the Valuer has been issued, requesting valuations to be completed by 28 February 2013 in line with the Final Accounts Timetable.	Evidence Provided	
2	Asset Register Reconciliation to Ledger	lan Herberson	High	Reconciliations between the fixed asset register and the general ledger should be completed as part of the closedown period and must reconcile to all figures in the PPE note in the accounts. This should be supported by working papers and be completed prior to submission of the draft statements. The asset register should be updated regularly throughout the year (including additions).	Although reconciliations were provided, they did not adequately verify all the figures in the PPE note. From 2012/13 the reconciliations will be extended to include verification of all movements recorded in the PPE Note. It is agreed that the asset register should be updated regularly throughout the year (including additions), however this is a challenge as it requires the continual reconciliation of additions to capital expenditure, which it is only possible to finalise at the year end. It is a further time consuming exercise to evaluate the additions for adding value to the asset.	Complete reconcilations for all entries in PPE note. Evaluate the benefit of including additions during the year.			
	Asset Register - Migration of Housing Dwellings	lan Herberson	High	Easington Council Dwellings records are held on a village basis and not on an individual asset basis. The Council should look to migrate all three housing asset registers for council dwellings onto the IPF Asset Manager as soon as is practicable to address this issue.	Separate report to Audit Committee - 22 November 2012		The project has suffered some delays due to delays at CIPFA in processing data and other conflicting workload priorities. It is anticipated that all information on Housing Assets will be with CIPFA by 28 February 2013. This delay should have no impact on 2012/13 closing of accounts.		
4	Working Papers	Hilary Appleton	High	The Council needs to ensure that final accounts working papers are prepared by officers as part of the closedown arrangements and a full set available for the beginning of the audit. There should be a clear audit trail to the figures in the statements and notes. This process will involve considerable effort but I am confident that the number of audit queries will reduce and more importantly so will the demands on officers' time.	Strategic Finance are discussing the format and extent of working papers that the External Auditor would expect to be provided. When devising the Final Accounts timetable for 2012/13 Closure of Accounts, it is proposed to build in an action and time for the completion of good quality working papers. A central repository will be established which adequately signposts the working papers provided to the Notes to the Statement of Accounts.				
5	HRA Rents	Azhar Rafiq	High	Officers were unable to provide a detailed breakdown of the weekly rent income figure, for the week 02/01/2012 – 08/01/2012, from the Durham City Homes rents system because only one individual who works offsite has the appropriate knowledge to allow an interrogation of the system. Officers should ensure that they have the appropriate knowledge within the council to enable them to complete this task.	available on the Rent System – however, we are able to provide a standard system report which details all transactions over a period identified. Unfortunately, this report is a text	Arrange for the required reports to be available as soon as possible after the year end. Investigate the possibility of providing reports in a format that allows interrogation i.e. not a text file. Consider how appropriate level of skills & knowledge to interrogate the system can be gained.			
6	HRS ALMOS	Azhar Rafiq	High	Both East Durham Homes and Dale and Valley Homes should be requested to specifically show a charge for 'Supervision and Management' and 'Repairs and Maintenance' on their periodic management fee invoices to provide a more robust method for finance officers to be able to prepare the classification needed for the accounts.	The management agreement with the ALMOs is a single agreement in return for a single management fee which is negotiated annually and we do not have separate funding agreements for repairs and management expenditure. The two ALMOs prepare income and expenditure accounts in line with companies act requirements and for their purposes a distinction is not necessary. The figures used in the final accounts process are developed following detailed and full consultation and agreement with the two ALMOs. Therefore the work required to ensure the figures are robust is already undertaken. Showing a notional breakdown on the invoice is not necessary. The year end position and the entries on the HRA statement are discussed and agreed with ALMO colleagues.	Discuss the information requirements with External Audit prior to the year end. Provide evidence of the agreement of the split of the ALMO fee as appropriate.			

Complete By:	On target/ ahead of target/ behind target /
Complete	complete / not due The Rolling Programme includes the following
	assets: CYPS Phase B, Surplus, Assets held for Sale, investment, Housing, Ad-Hoc, and Annual Impairment Review. Although Wear Valley was the only housing area requiring a full valuation, owing to significant variations in values since the previous full valuation a sample of Durham City and Easington area houses were revalued and as there were no significant variations in value since the previous valuation then the Land Registry percentage impairment was deemed to be the correct valuation approach. In addition we review the Surplus and Investment properties but these are not reviewed until the year end with a valuation date of 31 March 2013.
30-Jun-13	Not Due
Complete	Wear Valley, Easington and City of Durham Housing Assets have now been processed by
	CIPFA and were available in the live Asset Register from 3 April 2013. The information has been fully reconciled.
30-Jun-13	Not Due
30-Jun-13	Not Due
30-Jun-13	Not Due
30-Jun-13	Not Due